

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/485,734	02/14/2000	FRANK PUTTKAMMER	990350	2153	
7	7590 08/13/2002				
LAW OFFICES OF KARL HORMANN			EXAMINER		
86 SPARKS S' CAMBRIDGE	TREET , MA 02138-2216		CAPUTO,	CAPUTO, LISA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	09/485,734 Examiner	PUTTKAMMER Art Unit	ELAL.
	İ		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Lisa M Caputo	2876	derocc
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	un une correspondence ad	Jaress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C</li> <li>A reply was received on <u>24 July 2002</u> (with a Cerl the period for reply (including a total extension of the period for reply (including a total extension)</li> </ol>	tificate of Mailing or Transmissio time of month(s)) which e	n dated <u>N/A</u> ), which is after expired on	
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it do			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reje application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	filed Notice of Appeal (with appe		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not cor final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S		fide attempt at a proper rep	oly, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTC		e, within the statutory perio	d of three months
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,), which is after the expiration of the statutor Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bal	ance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if require	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	·
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	as not been received.		
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	required by, and within the three	-month period set in, the No	otice of
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _ after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailin	g or Transmission dated	), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.</li> </ol>	y the attorney or agent of record	, the assignee of the entire	interest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed b 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	y an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte of the decision has expired and there are no allowed</li> </ol>		d because the period for se	eking court review
7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:		,	
See Continuation Sheet		9//	The
		KARL D. FRI PRIMARY EXA	ECH MINER

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 8

7

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-1432)**



Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Examiner contacted attorney in order to verify abandoned status. On 24 July 2002, attorney faxed the response which had been mailed 27 March 2002, however, there was no certificate of mailing that showed proof of the 27 March 2002 mailing date. Attorney contested that the wrong specification and claims had been examined, however, the specification and claims that were in the proper place in the file wrapper (center) were examined as entered. The correct specification and claims was found in a response entered 20 June 2000 in the right hand side of the file wrapper; however, there was no petition to replace the specification and claims that were already in the center of the file wrapper. Due to the non-response, this application is abandoned.